

DR MARK MCCORMICK (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-9289-1645)

Article type : Research Article

Editor : Behavioural Ecology

Section : William Hopkins

**Behavioural measures determine survivorship within the hierarchy
of whole-organism phenotypic traits**

Mark I. McCormick^{1*}, Eric Fakan¹, Bridie J. M. Allan^{1,2}

¹*ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, and Department of Marine Biology and Aquaculture, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland 4811, Australia*

²*Present address: Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, Norway*

* Corresponding author: mark.mccormick@jcu.edu.au; Phone: +61 409 371 015

Journal: Functional Ecology

Paper type: Standard Paper

Running Header: A field study of the phenotypic determinants of survival

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.13033

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Summary

1. Mortality through predation is often selective, particularly at life-history bottlenecks. While many studies have looked at the importance for survival of specific prey characteristics in isolation, few have looked at a broad array of attributes and how they relate to survival in a realistic context.
2. Our study measures 18 morphological, performance and behavioural traits of a juvenile damselfish that have been hypothesized as important for prey survival, and examines how they relate to survival in the field immediately after settlement. These attributes included size, relative false eye-spot size, fast-start escape response kinematics, thigmotaxis, laterality, and space use and activity in the field.
3. Using conditional inference trees we identify the most important drivers out of a reduced suite of 13 characters. Fast-start response latency, boldness, feeding rates and two measures of activity were found to significantly contribute to survival. Morphological variables and most laboratory measures of performance appeared to contribute little to survival.
4. Results suggest selection works on a suite of characters associated with boldness. Bold and active fish are those that will be best able to learn using public information, but because of the relatively naïveté of newly metamorphosed fishes, speed to react to a strike from an unknown predator is of critical importance.
5. Findings substantiate the ecomorphological paradigm by suggesting that selection on behaviour modifies the correlations of morphological and performance variables with survival probabilities, since behaviour modifies performance capabilities by making them specific to context.

Keywords: body condition; boldness; coral reef fish; fast-start escape response; lateralization performance; mortality; phenotypic selection; predator selectivity

Introduction

Darwinian selection focuses on the performance of individuals within an environmental context, and biologists have often studied selection within a conceptual framework known as the “ecomorphological paradigm”, originally conceived by Arnold (1983). This framework integrates multiple levels of biological organization and how they may influence survival/fitness. In its simplest conceptualization, morphology is linked to fitness through performance. Subsequent refinements by Garland and others have modified the paradigm to view behaviour as a filter intervening between performance capabilities and selection (e.g., Garland & Losos 1994; Storz *et al.* 2015; Orr & Garland 2017).

The addition of factors that drive the context specificity of morphology, biochemistry, behaviour and performance (Storz *et al.* 2015) means these targets of selection can rapidly turn into a complex network of interrelationships (Lailvaux & Husak 2014; Orr & Garland 2017). Together they can have indirect and direct effects that may combine to have simple or emergent influences on fitness and survival (Orr & Garland 2017). Within this paradigm, organisms use behaviours to choose among a range of possibilities to make performance appropriate to context. Survival is the result of the compound effects of morphology, performance, and behavior (plus other external factors; Lailvaux & Husak 2014) and is therefore an emergent property of these traits acting together. Additionally, the hierarchical structure of these traits inherently means that the ability to predict the emergent property (survival; Korn 2005) will be greatest in the higher order traits (e.g., behaviour) and least in lowest order traits (e.g., morphology).

For organisms with complex life cycles (Wilbur 1980), such as fishes, amphibians and many invertebrates, the juvenile life-stage represent a critical bottleneck as individuals transition from a life form suited to their larval life, to one suited to the juvenile environment (Pechenik

2006). Here mortality is not only high, but typically selective (Johnson *et al.* 2014). A review of selection on performance traits across taxa suggests that selection tends to be directional on performance traits and favours high rather than low performance (Irschick *et al.* 2008).

This is of crucial importance because such short-term but intense selection has the capacity to carryover and be amplified through to the longer lasting life stages (Gagliano, McCormick & Meekan 2007). These later life stages often have the key life-history roles of growth and reproduction (Wilbur 1980). Hence, selective mortality that occurs within these bottlenecks plays an important role in influencing the distribution of phenotypes within the reproductive population, and if the targeted traits of selection have genetic basis, the genotypes within the next generation (e.g., Planes & Romans 2004; Vigliola *et al.* 2007; Pini *et al.* 2011; Jensen 2013).

The phenotypic traits that are advantageous to surviving a predatory encounter can be divided into morphological, performance and behavioural traits, which may have independent and interactive consequences for fitness (Garland & Losos 1994; Langerhans & Reznick 2010).

For organisms with complex life cycles, important traits linked to fitness include: large relative size (Perez & Munch 2010), large locomotory structures (Langerhans 2009), distracting pigmentation (Stevens 2005; Lönstedt, McCormick & Chivers 2013), high growth rates (Hoey & McCormick 2004), turning bias (i.e., lateralization, Chivers *et al.* 2016), efficient escape responses when threatened (Dugatkin & Godin 1992; McCormick & Allan 2017), and being more active or bolder in the face of risk (Fuiman, Meekan & McCormick 2010; McCormick & Meekan 2010; May, Page & Fleming 2016). While latency to respond to a predator strike has been found to be important, and though it makes intuitive sense that higher performance in escape kinematics should be important to survival, few studies have expressly examined the mechanics of escape performance related to survival under a direct predation threat (Katzir & Camhi 1993; Walker *et al.* 2005; Langerhans 2009).

Studies often use experiments to determine the importance of one trait (Garland & Losos 1994, Irschick *et al.* 2008). This is often due to the complex logistics of undertaking multifactorial manipulative experiments. Laboratory tests also usually only examine survival against one species of predator of a particular size. Although these studies can be useful to generate variables of potential importance, the inevitable covariance of phenotypic attributes makes it difficult to conclude the role that one factor plays in affecting survival. This problem is magnified by the use of laboratory tests, as with constant conditions and control comes many artefacts, such as a modified sensory regime (e.g., Rogers *et al.* 2016), that make interpreting dynamics in the wild problematic (e.g., White, McCormick & Meekan 2013). Arnold (1983) noted that laboratory studies are useful for determining the effects of morphological variation on maximum performance, while measures of fitness are best undertaken under natural field conditions. It is only by using a combination of laboratory and field studies that the relative importance the hierarchy of traits that influence fitness and survival can be determined within the ecomorphological paradigm (Orr & Garland 2017). Coral reef fishes are an ideal model organism in which to study the drivers of selection and survival, as marine organisms often enter the juvenile life stage in vast numbers and exhibit a type III mortality schedule (Caley, 1998), where most mortality occurs in the early life stages. Moreover, recent studies have shown strong links between performance capabilities (e.g., escape responses under predation risk) and behaviour (e.g., Ramasamy, Allan & McCormick 2015; McCormick & Allan 2017; Ramasamy *et al.* 2017), suggesting a hierarchical link to survival. The current study explores the extent to which commonly used morphological, performance and behavioural measures predict survival in the field for fish at metamorphosis. By focusing on the juvenile phase, the present study examines the importance of selection on morphological, performance and behavioural traits without the potentially confounding influence of other types of selection that may be contrary to selection on performance, such

as sexual selection (Johnson & Hixon 2011). The hierarchical framework linking morphology, performance and behaviour to survival (Garland & Losos 1994, Orr & Garland 2017) means that the ability to predict the emergent property of survival should be greatest in the higher order traits, such as behavior. Thus we predicted: that morphological variables would not be as important at this critical transition because of the limited variability in size range (McCormick & Molony 1993; Kerrigan 1996); that escape responses would be important due to high predation pressure; and that behavioural measures within the field would be good predictors of survival, due to their relevance to the environmental context of predation pressure (Domenici 2010). We use conditional inference trees to identify the most important drivers out of a reduced suite of 13 characters. These models are particularly useful in this circumstance as they can fit complex nonlinear relationships, and automatically handle interaction effects between predictors (Elith, Leathwick & Hastie 2008).

Materials and methods

STUDY SPECIES

Newly metamorphosed white-tailed damselfish, *Pomacentrus chrysurus* (Pomacentridae) were collected using light traps (Meekan *et al.* 2001) in the waters off Lizard Island (14°40'S, 145°28'E) in the northern Great Barrier Reef, Australia during October to November 2016.

This species is a common component of the benthic fish fauna of Indo-Pacific reefs and adults inhabit sandy areas of lagoons and inshore reefs. *P. chrysurus* naturally settle on patch reef environments near the continuous reef. In this habitat, juveniles are exposed to a diverse range of predators that use a variety of feeding modes from ambush (lizardfish *Synodus dermatogenys* and the small cod *Cephalopholis microprion*) to pursuit (dottybacks *Pseudochromis fuscus* and wrasse *Thalassoma lunare*). These fishes can be observed to capitalize on juveniles that venture too far from shelter (McCormick 2012).

PROTOCOL SUMMARY

Each of the 120 fish used in the study was put through a broad array of performance tests, measured and then placed on habitat patches in the shallow lagoon (a habitat to which they naturally settle), where their behaviour and survival was assessed. Fast-start (kinematic) variables could not be obtained for all individuals, so this reduced the overall number of individuals used in the comparison to 111 ($n_{\text{survived}} = 59$, $n_{\text{died}} = 52$). For all variables chosen previous research has either found that they are the focus of selective mortality, or responsive to the magnitude of risk (Table 1). The key to achieving such a potentially stressful series of tests on small juvenile fish was to minimize their stress at every step in the process. Indeed, at each step, a certain minimum level of habituation was necessary before data were collected. For instance, the startle stimulus was dropped to obtain a fast-start response (below), when fish were active in the central third of the arena (indicating habituation). In the field trials, all fish were observed to feed, suggesting again that they had habituated to the new conditions. Physiological studies have found that juvenile coral reef fishes recover very quickly from stressful situations (e.g., exhaustion from the assessment of maximum aerobic capacity, Rummer *et al.* 2014).

Once collected with light traps, juveniles were sorted to species and left in 30 L flow-through seawater aquaria to recover from the stress of capture. On the day of assessment, fish were measured and assayed for a number of phenotypic variables, details of which are given below: (a) body size and weight; (b) lateral body area; (c) relative size of the false eye spot – an indication of risk history; (d) routine swimming – as a measure of activity and an indicator of exploratory behaviour, sometimes used as indices of laboratory assessed boldness; (e) thigmotaxis – i.e., “wall-hugging” as an indication of anxiety/assurance in a novel environment; (f) fast-start kinematics – the startle response necessary to evade predator

attacks under a repeatable stimulus; (g) space use in the field; (h) behaviour in the field; (i) survival over three days in the field.

The sequence of assessments and measurements were as follows. Fish were left for two days to recover from the stress of capture in the light traps, during which time they were fed *Artemia* nauplii twice a day. They were transferred individually from 35 L holding tanks into individual 1 L aquaria held in a flow-through water bath to maintain water temperature (27 – 28 °C) and to habituate to isolation. Twenty to 40 min later they were carefully transferred to the fast-start arena where they were left for 5 min to habituate. Fish were then filmed at 30 fps for 2 min for the assessment of routine swimming, after which a repeatable drop stimulus elicited a fast-start. Fish were then placed into a 1 L labelled aquaria of aerated water and left for 20 min prior to being transferred to a lateralization chamber with a fine-mesh hand-net, where they were left for a further 3 min to habituate to the apparatus. After they had been assessed for laterality they were placed into individually labelled 1 L aquaria (in a water bath) and left for 20 - 40 min prior to being weighed (quickly but carefully blotted) in a known weight of water and placed into labelled 1 L clip-seal plastic bag of aerated seawater and photographed laterally against a 1cm grid. Fish within the 1 L bags were then stored in a 60 L flow-through plastic aquarium under shade cloth to minimize stress for ~ 2 hours, and taken out to the shallow field site just off the research station. Divers on SCUBA then released the fish individually onto numbered patch reefs (n = 1 fish per reef) made of dead coral (their natural recruitment habitat), and a small cage allowed the fish to habituate to the new habitat without threat of predation. Most fish started feeding within 30 s of transfer, suggesting that they rapidly habituated to their new habitat. The cage was removed 40 – 60 min later, their behaviour and space use was assessed. The patches were surveyed three-times per day for next three days to quantify survival as evidenced by presence/absence of the focal fish.

LABORATORY MEASURES OF MORPHOLOGY AND PERFORMANCE

Morphology. Morphology was quantified from the lateral photographs of fish against a 1 cm grid using the image-analysis package Image-J (imagej.nih.gov/ij/). The morphological variables measured were: standard length, eye area, ocellus area; lateral body area (see Fig. S1 in Supporting Information).

Routine swimming, thigmotaxis and fast start protocol. Routine swimming, thigmotaxis and fast starts were examined in a transparent circular acrylic arena (diameter 200mm; height 70mm), within a large opaque-sided plastic tank (585 x 420 x 330 mm; 60 L) with a transparent Perspex bottom to allow responses to be filmed from below using the fish's silhouette (Fig. S2). The water level was maintained at 60 mm to reduce movements in the vertical plane, and the water in the arena was emptied and refilled with fresh seawater after every fourth trial to maintain water quality and temperature. The arena was illuminated by an LED light strip wrapped around the outside of the holding tank with light penetrating with even illumination through the white plastic sides.

At the end of the 5 min habituation period, routine activity (used to determine routine swimming and thigmotaxis) was recorded as a silhouette from below, at 30 fps for 2 min. A fast start was then stimulated by the release of a conical weight with a tapered end into the testing arena and recorded at 480 fps (Casio EX-ZR1000). Fish were only startled when they moved to the middle portion of the tank, allowing an individual to move an equal distance in any direction and standardising for fish position relative to the stimulus. The weight was released from an electromagnet and was governed by a piece of fishing line that was long enough such that the tapered tip of the weight only just touched the surface of the water. To avoid a premature escape response associated with visual stimulation occurring, the test tube was released from above into a 550 mm piece of 48.5 mm diameter PVC pipe with the

bottom edge at a distance of 10 mm above the water level. To ensure a standardized protocol, fast-start variables were only measured when fish performed a C-start (commencement of fast-start that results in the individual forming a C-shape, Domenici & Blake 1997). Trials were conducted between 8:00 and 14:00 h.

Routine swimming was analysed from the 2 min, 30 fps video sequences and measured by tracking the distance (metres) covered by the fish every second, resulting in 120 data points.

From this distance measure, average speed was also be calculated (m/s).

To quantify thigmotaxis the location of an individual was marked every second and assessed for the proportion of time spent swimming near the wall of the arena (i.e., within 2.5 body length of the wall; defined as ‘anxious’) or further into the centre of the arena (defined as ‘assure’).

Kinematic variables associated with the fast-start response were analysed using Image-J, with a manual tracking plug-in. The centre of mass (CoM) of each fish was tracked for the duration of the response. The following kinematic variables were measured:

1. Response latency (s) was measured as the time interval between the stimulus onset and the first detectable movement leading to the escape of the animal.
2. Response distance (m) is a measure of the total distance covered by the fish during the first two flips of the tail (the first two axial bends, i.e., stages 1 and 2 defined based on Domenici and Blake (1997), which is the period considered crucial for avoiding ambush predator attacks (Webb 1976).
3. Response speed (m/s) was measured as the distance covered within a fixed time (25 ms). This fixed duration was based on the average duration (22.8 ms) of stage 1 and 2 (as defined above).

4. Maximum response speed (m/s) was measured as the maximum speed achieved at any time during stage 1 and stage 2.

Lateralization test. Laterality of each fish was determined using a detour test (Bisazza *et al.* 1998). The apparatus consisted of a cream-coloured PVC tank (600 mm length x 300 mm width x 154 mm height), with a runway in the middle (250 mm length x 30 mm width x 120 mm height) and grey PVC barriers (120 mm length x 120 mm height) positioned perpendicular to the orientation of the runway at both ends (30 mm ahead of the runway). Water in the lateralization arena was 60 mm deep and was changed every 5 trials to avoid changes in water temperature and dissolved oxygen levels in the arena. Water temperature in the arena was 27 - 28 °C. At the start of each trial, a single fish was introduced into the middle of the runway and left for 2 min to habituate. During each trial, fish were gently maneuvered to the starting point of the runway. The fish then swam along the runway until it faced the barrier. Fish then made a decision to turn left or right around the barrier. To account for any possible asymmetry in the set-up, tests were carried out alternately on the two ends of the runway (Bisazza *et al.* 1998). To avoid fish taking 'a familiar route' the fish entered the runway from a different side from which they exited. Turning was scored by direct observation. The criterion used for scoring was the first turning direction taken by the fish when exiting from the runaway. Ten consecutive tests were conducted for each fish. Absolute laterality was used to quantify the level of turning bias according to the formula (Bisazza *et al.* 1998): absolute value of $\{[(\# \text{right turn} - \# \text{left turn}) / (\text{total } \# \text{ of trials})] * 100\}$. This yields a score between zero (no side preference) and 100 (absolute side preference). Relative lateralization of each fish was computed using the formula $(\# \text{ right turns} - \# \text{ left turns}) / (\# \text{ right turns} + \# \text{ left turns}) * 100$ (Domenici *et al.* 2011).

BEHAVIOURAL MEASURES AND SURVIVAL IN THE FIELD

Space use and boldness in field. Individual fish were placed onto uniquely-numbered habitat patches (25 x 20 x 20cm) constructed of dead bushy coral (*Pocillopora damicornis*), located on sand 3 m from the edge of a shallow reef and 4 m apart. All resident fishes and mobile invertebrates were removed from the patch reefs using a hand net prior to the introduction of the focal fish. A small cage (30 x 30 x 30 cm cage; 12 mm square mesh) was placed over the patch for 30 - 60 min to prevent any loss of fish from the patches during the habituation period. Our previous studies have shown that individually tagged recruit-sized damselfishes do not move between patches and that any loss from these patch reefs is due to predation (McCormick & Meekan 2007; McCormick 2009). For instance, in one study 20 elastomer-tagged (Hoey & McCormick 2004) newly-settled damselfish were placed on 15 patch reefs 8 m apart, and the difference in the colour of the tags between adjacent reefs allowed movement to be quantified. This study found only 3 of the 300 fish had moved to the adjacent patch reefs over a 3 d period (Hoey & McCormick 2004), suggesting that movement does not represent a significant bias for the present study. In the present study, fish usually started feeding within 30 s of release, indicating that despite the stresses associated with their previous performance assessments they readily habituated to their new surroundings and were minimally stressed.

After the habituation period, the cage was slowly removed and fish were assessed for their feeding rates (strikes per minute), space use and boldness over a 3 min period following the protocol of McCormick (2009). Briefly, the behaviour of each fish was assessed by a scuba diver positioned ~1.5 m away from the patch. A magnifying glass (4 x) aided the assessment of bite rates and space use over the 3 min focal animal sampling period for each fish. Four aspects of activity and behaviour were assessed: a) bite rate; b) total distance moved (cm); c) maximum distance ventured from the habitat patch (cm); d) boldness (recorded as a

continuous variable on a scale from 0 to 3, where: 0 was hiding in hole and seldom emerging; 1 was retreating to a hole when scared and taking more than 5 sec to re-emerge, weakly or tentatively striking at food; 2 was shying to shelter when scared but quickly emerging, purposeful strikes at food; and 3 was not hiding when scared, exploring around the coral patch, and striking aggressively at food). This measure of boldness has been found to be repeatable between observers, consistent in the short-term for newly settled damselfish and related to survival in the field (McCormick & Meekan 2010; White, Meekan & McCormick 2015). Total distance moved and maximum distance ventured were estimated by keeping track of where the fish travelled and from knowing the measured dimensions of the patch reef. Behavioural assessments were made between 14:30 and 1630.

Survival. Habitat patches were censused for the presence or absence of the white-tail damselfish recruits 72 h after they had been placed onto the reefs. Fish were not tagged to minimize handling stress, so the absence of a fish on the labelled patch reef was equated to mortality, presumably due primarily to predation.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Phenotypic variables (independents) were screened for their power to predict survival (dependent) using a Chi-square statistic and p-value for each independent variable. When independent variables were similar measures of the same phenotype (e.g., for routine swimming, distance travelled in 2 min, and average speed), the variable with the best characteristics regarding predictive power and normality was chosen for inclusion in further analyses. This left 13 variables within the analyses (Table 1). Pearson's correlations were used to provide a simple quantification of the associations both within and between the

different types of variables [morphological, performance (kinematic, routine swimming, thigmotaxis, lateralization), and behavioural].

Four classification models were used to relate the remaining 13 phenotypic variables (independents) to whether fish survived for 72 h on habitat patches (dependent). These four models were then compared and allowed to 'vote' (through a process known as bagging) for a final solution. Using ensembles of models typically outperforms individual models (Breiman 2001). An examination of scatterplots of independent variables found that linearity was the most parsimonious relationship between all variables. Significant linear relationships were evident between distance to stimulus and all kinematic fast-start variables, so residuals of these relationships were used for further analysis to remove the effect of variable distance.

The four classification techniques were used: general classification and regression trees (C&RT, Breiman *et al.* 1984); Chi-squared automatic interaction detector (Exhaustive CHAID; Kass 1980); stochastic gradient boosting trees (Friedman 2002); random forest (Breiman 2001). The performance of models were compared by examining their performance in lift and gains charts. All models identified the same top five independent variables that explained the most discriminatory power with respect to survival, however, the boosted regression model performed slightly better than the others when models were compared.

A boosted regression tree classification consists of a collection or ensemble of simple models to give improved prediction. Such machine learning approaches tend to be less sensitive to the effects of variable collinearity than other classification approaches (Dormann *et al.* 2013).

They have no need for prior data transformation or elimination of outliers, can fit complex nonlinear relationships, and automatically handle interaction effects between predictors (Elith, Leathwick & Hastie 2008). The relative importance in predictive power among independent variables was computed using a modification of the approach of Breiman *et al.*

(1984). Statistics were undertaken using the Data Mining package in Statistica version 13.2 (Dell Inc., 2016).

Results

Forty-six percent of fish died after 72 h on the patch reefs. Pearson's correlations found that there were significant associations both within and between the morphological, performance and behavioural variables (Table S1). There were no correlations of any variables with standard length, possibly due to the low variability of this variable, with the exception of lateral body area (Table S1). There were moderately strong associations among kinematic variables, but also strong negative relationships between response latency and *in situ* behavioural variables ($r = -0.46$ to -0.58 ; Table S1). Lastly, there were strong positive associations among all *in situ* behavioural variables ($r = 0.50$ to 0.82 ; Table S1). Response latency and boldness both had 5 significant correlations with other variables (Table S1).

All four analytical models chose the same five variables as being most important in predicting the survival of white-tail damselfish on habitat patches over 72 h. All four models also ranked response latency as the most important variable. The boosted regression tree analysis performed marginally better and found that latency in the fast-start response was the most important variable, followed by boldness and bite rate whilst on the habitat patch (Fig. 1). Maximum distance ventured away from the patch and total distance moved within the 3 min behavioural assessment contributed equally to predicting survival. The morphological variables (SL, lateral area, ocellus/eye area), routine swimming, thigmotaxis (use of inner zone of the arena), and all but one of the kinematic fast-start variables (i.e., latency) had no significant power to predict whether a fish would survive 72 h on a habitat patch (Fig. 1). The

overall accuracy of the four models in allocating a subsample of observations to one or other survival category was very similar and between 77 and 78 %.

Lateralization was not significantly associated with survival. Overall the relative lateralization scores of the assessed group was significantly non-normal (Shapiro-Wilk $W = 0.97$, $p = 0.003$), with a slight right skew (skewness 0.048). While there were slight differences in the degree of skewness (survived 0.02 vs died 0.0), there was no significant difference in the distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, $p < 0.1$; Fig. S3).

Univariate plots of the five key predictor variables show how the variables relate to the whether fish survived in the field (Fig. 2). Fish that survived 72 h on the habitat patches where those that: had a fast (i.e., short) response latency in a fast-start test (Fig. 2a), were substantially bolder when placed on a reef (Fig. 2b), had a higher bite rate (Fig. 2c), were more active (Fig. 2d), and ventured further from the reef (Fig. 2e).

Discussion

This is the first study to relate survival in the field to such a broad array of morphological, performance and behavioural characteristics measured on each focal individual. All eighteen measures used in this study have been previously hypothesized to be associated with performance in the face of risk, however seldom are the relationships with survival tested in a field setting. The current study found that morphological traits and most of the laboratory measures of performance capacity did not relate to survival in the field. However, behavioural measures of activity, space use and boldness strongly predicted survival over the period when the juveniles were most vulnerable (e.g., Almany & Webster 2006). These findings are in keeping with the predictions of the ecomorphology paradigm, which suggests that behavioural traits are likely to have the strongest effects on survival because they represent a higher order trait (Arnold 1983; Garland & Losos 1994; Orr & Garland 2017).

The high variability in many of these behavioural traits at settlement (Fuiman, Meekan & McCormick 2010; this study) gives scope for selection and it is the selection during this mortality window that will affect the distribution of performance traits in later life-stages.

Survivors were those individuals that displayed characteristics associated with being daring, and reactive to either the approach or strike of a predator – high boldness and a fast reaction once startled. The finding that these variables, which are closely associated with antipredator responses, best define survivors highlights the vulnerability of this early life-stage to predation. Research suggests that, while larvae bring some information with them about predators that is relevant after metamorphosis (e.g., Agrawal, Laforsch & Tollrian 1999; Vail & McCormick 2011), most information about local relevant predators has to be rapidly learnt (Lönnerstedt *et al.* 2012). Moreover, recent experiments suggest for a congeneric of the same life-stage that there is a link between the propensity to explore and the speed at which individuals learn (White, McCormick & Meekan submitted). Our findings can be interpreted as two parts of this process; bold and active fishes are those that will be best able to learn using public information (e.g., Mathis, Chivers & Smith 1996; Manassa & McCormick 2013), but because they are relatively naïve, reaction time to a unknown predator that has been allowed to approach close enough to initiate a strike is of critical importance.

Latency to respond when startled was found to be the variable that best predicted survival in the field. Those fish that were the fastest to respond when startled survived. Fuiman and colleagues (2010) studying juveniles of a congeneric to our study species, the Ward's damselfish (*Pomacentrus wardi*), found latency to respond was unimportant to survival in the field, but the swimming speed once they had initiated their escape was important. Katzir and Camhi (1993) exposed predator-naïve freshwater mollies (*Poecilia sphenops*) to aerial predation from a single pied king-fisher (*Ceryle rudis*) in the laboratory, and the survivors of the 15 trials were found to have shorter escape latencies. A number of studies that have looked at

escape kinematics under a predator threat have not measured latency, despite its key role as the initiation of the escape sequence, because of the nature of the experimental set-up precluding unconfounded latency estimates (Walker *et al.* 2005; Johnson, Burt & DeWitt 2008). Recent studies have shown that fast-start latency is strongly influenced by available information on current risk (Domenici 2010; Bohórquez-Herrera, Kawano & Domenici 2013; Ramasamy, Allan & McCormick 2015; Ramasamy *et al.* 2017; McCormick & Allan 2016), suggesting that those fishes that are best able to gauge risk respond more quickly to a predation threat.

Those fish that possess the most up-to-date information concerning the motivation of predators nearby will be those individuals that are most active and searching for food. Our findings showed that fish that were the boldest, most active, and which had the highest bite rates also had the highest survival. Boldness has been previously found to be associated with survival *in situ* for a congeneric, the Ward's damselfish (*P. wardi*, McCormick & Meekan 2010). A broader examination of the literature shows that while the bold-shy axis is often correlated with survival, whether a shy or bold personality type is advantageous to survival is context and taxon specific. For instance, shy individuals that have low exploratory behaviour were found to survive better amongst trapped brushtail possums (May *et al.* 2016). Captive-bred foxes who were bold died more often than expected by 6 months after release (Bremner-Harrison, Prodohl & Elwood 2004). Dugatkin (1992) also found that guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*) who displayed a propensity to examine predators died faster in a separate survival trial, suggesting that boldness came at a cost. In direct contrast, Godin and Davis (1995) found that bold guppies which inspected a predatory cichlid (*Aequidens pulcher*) were less likely to be attacked compared to those that did not inspect. Similar survival advantages to inspection have been found for many taxa including gazelles (*Gazella thomsoni*; FitzGibbon 1994) and the European minnow (*Phoxinus phoxinus*; Magurran 1990) amongst others. Those

Accepted Article

studies that find a survival advantage to such bold behaviour argue that the survival advantage comes from the cost to the predator of chasing an aware prey and the lower probability of capture (Godin 1997). Clearly, given the widespread occurrence of predator inspection it is hypothesized to be adaptive and one of the benefits of superior information acquisition by bold individuals (Dugatkin & Godin, 1992).

Latency of the fast-start response had much stronger correlations with field behavioural variables than fast-start kinematic variables. This may be because latency to respond to a startle can be under a degree of behavioural control (Domenici 2010; Marras *et al.* 2011).

Ramasamy and colleagues (2015) found that forewarning a spiny chromis (*Acanthochromis polyacanthus*) to a known predator by a visual or olfactory cue reduced the response latency to a startle stimulus by one-third. Such behavioural control of escape response kinematics has previously been thought to fall within the economic model proposed by Ydenberg & Dill (1986) and Lima & Dill (1990). This theory states there is a cost to escaping and so individuals weigh up the level of threat against this cost to determine when to best react.

Clearly, there are behavioural decisions being made concerning when to react to a strike and it will be those individuals best informed about the identity, proximity and motivation of predators that will have the best chance of survival. Our data suggest it is the bolder fish, who explore their environment to a greater extent than shy fish that will be better able to assess their high state of predator threat (remember ~50% fish die in 3 days) and consequently will be better able to optimize their fast-start response. This represents an example of how performance capabilities can be modified by behavioural decisions to become relevant to context (Garland & Lossos 1994; Domenici 2010).

Our study found a surprising lack of relationships between performance variables and fish length. Size is the most universal predictor of the outcome of behavioural interactions and status within social systems in vertebrates (Werner & Gilliam 1984). Dominant individuals

are often those that get the best access to more or higher quality food, and this acts as a positive feedback through growth such that dominant individuals maintain their position. Size is usually positively associated with physical performance over the whole size-range of an animal (e.g., Losos 1990; Wilson & Franklin 2000). However, at a particular developmental age, such as a developmental boundary like metamorphosis in amphibians and fishes, there are often poor correlations between performance and size (e.g., McCormick & Molony 1993; Holmes & McCormick 2009). In the current study the newly metamorphosed fishes collected prior to settlement had a very low size range, with a coefficient of variation of only 5 %. It is likely that this low variance prevented the detection of any positive associations between performance and size, if it existed. At this life stage, size may have been more important had fish been placed in social groups, because smaller individuals tend to get pushed into riskier parts of the habitat and suffer higher mortality in damselfish (McCormick 2009), so once again, the result is likely to be context dependent. In the case of the white-tailed damselfish used in the current study, fish at settlement are highly territorial and aggressive to one another so tend to be solitary in their distribution, so the single individuals used on our patch reefs is the most ecologically relevant context within which to study this species at settlement.

It was also surprising that laterality had no influence on survival. A number of studies have found that fish exposed to high risk for four days developed a risk-adverse phenotype (Brown, Gardner & Braithwaite 2004; Ferrari *et al.* 2015; Chivers *et al.* 2016), which used space more conservatively, were lateralized and in one instance survived better in laboratory mesocosms (Ferrari *et al.* 2015). Despite the good range and normal distribution in relative lateralization found in the present study, there was no suggestion that mortality was biased by the levels of lateralization displayed by individuals. Recently, Chivers *et al.* (2016) experimentally demonstrated that whether juvenile fusiliers (*Caesio teres*) were strongly lateralized could be manipulated by the imposition of a temporal pattern in the magnitude of

risk, suggesting that lateralization was very malleable to context. These recent findings emphasize that while lateralization can be beneficial to survival, the high cost of lateralization means that fish adapt their level of lateralization to suit current circumstances. This suggests that although lateralization measured in the laboratory could be pertinent to survival in a laboratory mesocosm, the same fish within a field context may not necessarily display the same level of lateralization, so no relationship between a laboratory assessment of lateralization and field survival would be evident.

The present paper is one of the only studies to explore the relative influence of morphological, performance and behavioural traits on survival in a natural field context. Findings suggest that, under the constraints of the experimental field conditions, ecological selection is promoting a bold phenotype. This phenotype represents a variety of correlated behavioural traits, together with their emergent functional properties and performance traits. Such a grouping of associated traits under selection is expected (Irschnick *et al.* 2008) and appears to be common (e.g., Ferrari *et al.* 2015; Kern *et al.* 2016). Our analysis emphasized the importance of behaviour to survival, which is likely to function through two mechanisms: firstly, it is how an organism uses space that influences its vulnerability to selective predators (e.g., Holmes & McCormick 2009, 2010); secondly, behaviour alters the relationships between morphological variables and performance by modifying the performance response to best fit the context, in keeping with the ecomorphological paradigm (Arnold 1983; Orr & Garland 2017). While latency was found to be important to predicting survival, it is interesting that it was perhaps one of the most behaviourally-mediated of the kinematic variables and known to be influenced by behavioural inputs (Domenici 2010). Most analyses assume that predators simply eat vulnerable prey, meaning that the attributes of the prey determine survival. Studies of predators find they are highly selective feeders (e.g., Holmes & McCormick 2010; Gallon, Thompson & Middlemas 2017) and often idiosyncratic in their

choices of prey attributes (Bolnick *et al.* 2002; Toscano *et al.* 2016). Future studies will benefit from including predator choice into the survival equation, and inevitably these choices may be context specific which may also affect the balance of morphological, performance and behavioural variables that affect prey survival.

Author contributions. MIM and BJMA conceived and undertook the lab and field study. EF analysed the videos and images. MIM statistically analysed and wrote the first draft. BJMA and EF substantially contributed to the final manuscript.

Data archive: Tropical Data Hub doi: 10.4225/28/59c47d4b3a5f2

Acknowledgements

We thank all the staff at the Lizard Island Research Station, and all the students and volunteers that helped with the light traps and sorting of fish. Paolo Domenici provided useful discussion on the findings.

References

- Agrawal, A.A., Laforsch, C. & Tollrian, R. (1999) Transgenerational induction of defences in animals and plants. *Nature*, **401**, 60-63.
- Almany, G.R. & Webster, M.S. (2006) The predation gauntlet: early post-settlement mortality in coral reef fishes. *Coral Reefs*, **25**, 19-22.
- Arnold, S.J. (1983) Morphology, performance and fitness. *American Zoologist*, **23**, 347-361.
- Bisazza, A., Facchin, L., Pignatti, R. & Vallortigara, G. (1998) Lateralization of detour behaviour in poeciliid fish: the effect of species, gender and sexual motivation. *Behavioural brain research*, **91**, 157-164.

- Bohórquez-Herrera, J., Kawano, S.M. & Domenici, P. (2013) Foraging behavior delays mechanically-stimulated escape responses in fish. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, ict031. doi: 10.1093/icb/ict031
- Bolnick, D.I., Svanbäck, R., Fordyce, J.A., Yang, L.H., Davis, J.M., Hulsey, C.D. & Forister, M.L. (2002) The ecology of individuals: incidence and implications of individual specialization. *American Naturalist*, **161**, 1-28.
- Breiman, L., Friedman, J.H., Olshen, R.A. & Stone, C.J. (1984) Classification and regression trees. Wadsworth & Brooks, Monterey, CA.
- Breiman, L. (2001) Random forests. *Machine Learning*, **45**, 5-32.
- Bremner- Harrison, S., Prodohl, P. & Elwood, R.W. (2004) Behavioural trait assessment as a release criterion: boldness predicts early death in a reintroduction programme of captive- bred swift fox (*Vulpes velox*). *Animal Conservation*, **7**, 313-320.
- Brown, J.S. (1999) Vigilance, patch use and habitat selection: Foraging under predation risk. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, **1**, 49-71.
- Brown, C., Gardner, C. & Braithwaite, V. (2004) Population variation in lateralized eye use in the poeciliid *Brachyrhaphis episcopi*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society Series B: Biological Sciences*, **271**, S455–S457
- Caley, M.J. (1998) Age-specific mortality rates in reef fishes: evidence and implications. *Australian Journal of Ecology*, **23**, 241-245.
- Chivers, D.P., McCormick, M.I., Allan, B.J.M., Mitchell, M.D., Goncalves, E., Bryshun, R. & Ferrari, M.C.O. (2016) At odds with the group: changes in lateralization and escape performance reveal conformity and conflict in fish schools. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **283**, 20161127.
- Dell Inc. (2016). Dell Statistica (data analysis software system), version 13. software.dell.com.

- Domenici, P. (2010) Context-dependent variability in the components of fish escape response: integrating locomotor performance and behavior. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*, **313A**, 59-79.
- Domenici, P. & Blake, R.W. (1997) The kinematics and performance of fish fast-start swimming. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **200**, 1165-1178.
- Domenici P, Blagburn JM, Bacon JP (2011) Animal escapology I: theoretical issues and emerging trends in escape trajectories. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **214**, 2463-2473.
- Dormann CF, Elith J, Bacher S, Buchmann C & others (2013) Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. *Ecography*, **36**, 27–46
- Dugatkin, L.A. (1992) Tendency to inspect predators predicts mortality risk in the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Behavioral Ecology*, **3**, 124-127.
- Dugatkin, L.A. & Godin, J.-G.J. (1992) Prey approaching predators: a cost-benefit perspective. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, **29**, 233-252.
- Elith, J., Leathwick, J.R. & Hastie, T. (2008) A working guide to boosted regression trees. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **77**, 802-813.
- Ferrari, M.C.O., McCormick, M.I., Allan, B.J.M., Choi, R.B., Ramasamy, R.A. & Chivers, D.P. (2015) The effects of background risk on behavioural lateralization in a coral reef fish. *Functional Ecology*, **29**, 1553–1559.
- FitzGibbon, C.D. (1994) The costs and benefits of predator inspection behaviour in Thomson's gazelles. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, **34**, 139-148.
- Friedman, J.H. (2002) Stochastic gradient boosting. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, **38**, 367-378.

- Fuiman, L.A., Rose, K.A., Cowan, J.H. & Smith, E.P. (2006) Survival skills required for predator evasion by fish larvae and their relation to laboratory measures of performance. *Animal Behaviour*, **71**, 1389-1399.
- Fuiman, L.A., Meekan, M.G. & McCormick, M.I. (2010) Maladaptive behavior reinforces a recruitment bottleneck in newly settled reef fishes. *Oecologia*, **164**, 99-108.
- Gagliano, M., McCormick, M.I. & Meekan, M.G. (2007) Survival against the odds: ontogenetic changes in selective pressure mediate growth-mortality tradeoffs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **274**, 1575–1582.
- Gallon, S.L., Thompson, D. & Middlemas, S.J. (2017) What should I eat? Experimental evidence for prey selection in grey seals. *Animal Behaviour*, **123**, 35-41.
- Garland Jr, T. & Losos, J.B. (1994) Ecological morphology of locomotor performance in squamate reptiles. Ecological morphology: integrative organismal biology (eds P.C. Wainwright & S.M. Reilly), pp. 240-302. University of Chicago Press, London.
- Godin, J.J. (1997) Evading predators. Behavioural ecology of teleost fishes (ed. J.J. Godin), pp. 191-236. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Godin, J.-G.J. & Davis, S.A. (1995) Who dares, benefits: predator approach behaviour in the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) deters predator pursuit. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **259**, 193-200.
- Hoey, A. & McCormick, M.I. (2004) Selective predation for low body condition at the larval-juvenile transition of a coral reef fish. *Oecologia*, **139**, 23-29.
- Holmes, T.H. & McCormick, M.I. (2009) Influence of prey body characteristics and performance on predator selection. *Oecologia*, **159**, 401-413.
- Holmes, T. & McCormick, M.I. (2010) Size-selectivity of predatory reef fish on juvenile prey. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **399**, 273-283.

Irschick, D.J., Meyers, J.J., Husak, J.F. & Le Galliard, J.-F. (2008) How does selection operate on whole-organism functional performance capacities? A review and synthesis. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, **10**, 177-196.

Jensen, P. (2013) Transgenerational epigenetic effects on animal behaviour. *Progress in biophysics and molecular biology*, **113**, 447-454.

Johansen, J.L., Allan, B.J.M., Rummer, J.L. & Esbaugh, A.J. (2017) Publisher correction: Oil exposure disrupts early life-history stages of coral reef fishes via behavioural impairments. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, **1**, 1412-1412.

Johnson, J.B., Burt, D.B. & DeWitt, T.J. (2008) Form, function, and fitness: pathways to survival. *Evolution*, **62**, 1243-1251.

Johnson, D.W. & Hixon, M.A. (2011) Sexual and lifetime selection on body size in a marine fish: the importance of life-history trade-offs. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **24**, 1653-1663.

Johnson, D.W., Grorud-Colvert, K., Sponaugle, S. & Semmens, B.X. (2014) Phenotypic variation and selective mortality as major drivers of recruitment variability in fishes. *Ecology Letters*, **17**, 743-755.

Kass, G. V. (1980). An exploratory technique for investigating large quantities of categorical data. *Applied Statistics*, **29**, 119-127.

Katzir, G. & Camhi, J.M. (1993) Escape response of black mollies (*Poecilia sphenops*) to predatory dives of a pied kingfisher (*Ceryle rudis*). *Copeia*, **1993**, 549-553.

Kern, E.M., Robinson, D., Gass, E., Godwin, J. & Langerhans, R.B. (2016) Correlated evolution of personality, morphology and performance. *Animal Behaviour*, **117**, 79-86.

- Kerrigan, B.A. (1996) Temporal patterns in the size and condition of settlement in two tropical reef fishes (Pomacentridae: *Pomacentrus amboinensis* and *P. nagasakiensis*). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **135**, 27-41.
- Korn, R.W. (2005) The emergence principle in biological hierarchies. *Biology and Philosophy*, **20**, 137-151.
- Lailvaux S.P., Husak J.F. (2014) The life history of whole-organism performance. *Quarterly Review of Biology*, **89**, 285-318.
- Langerhans, R.B. (2009) Morphology, performance, fitness: functional insight into a post-Pleistocene radiation of mosquitofish. *Biology Letters*, **5**, 488-491.
- Langerhans, R.B. & Reznick, D.N. (2010) Ecology and evolution of swimming performance in fishes: predicting evolution with biomechanics. Fish locomotion: an ethological perspective (eds P. Domenici & B.G. Kapoor), pp. 200-248. Science Publishers, Plymouth.
- Lima, S.L. & Dill, L.M. (1990) Behavioural decisions made under the risk of predation: a review and prospectus. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **68**, 619-640.
- Lönstedt, O.M., McCormick, M.I., Meekan, M.G., Ferrari, M.C.O. & Chivers, D.P. (2012) Learn and live: the role of predator experience in influencing prey behaviour and survival. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **279**, 2091-2098.
- Lönstedt, O.M., McCormick, M.I. & Chivers, D.P. (2013) Predator-induced changes in the growth of eyeballs and false eyespots. *Scientific Reports*, **3**, 2259.
- Losos, J.B. (1990) Ecomorphology, performance capability, and scaling of West Indian *Anolis* lizards: an evolutionary analysis. *Ecological Monographs*, **60**, 369-388.
- Magurran, A.E. (1990) The adaptive significance of schooling as an anti-predator defence in fish. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, **27**, 51-66.

Manassa, R.P. & McCormick, M.I. (2013) Social learning improves survivorship at a life history transition. *Oecologia*, **171**, 845-852.

Marras, S., Killen, S.S., Claireaux, G., Domenici, P. & McKenzie, D.J. (2011) Behavioural and kinematic components of the fast-start escape response in fish: individual variation and temporal repeatability. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **214**, 3102-3110.

Mathis, A., Chivers, D.P. & Smith, R.J.F. (1996) Cultural transmission of predator recognition in fishes: intraspecific and interspecific learning. *Animal Behaviour*, **51**, 185-201.

May, T.M., Page, M.J. & Fleming, P.A. (2016) Predicting survivors: animal temperament and translocation. *Behavioral Ecology*, **27**, 969-977.

McCormick, M.I. (2009) Behaviourally mediated phenotypic selection in a disturbed coral reef environment. *PLoS ONE* **4**, e7096

McCormick, M.I. (2012) Lethal effects of habitat degradation on fishes through changing competitive advantage. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **279**, 3899-3904.

McCormick, M.I. & Allan, B.J.M. (2016) Lionfish misidentification circumvents an optimised escape response by prey. *Conservation Physiology*, **4**, cow064.

McCormick, M. & Allan, B. (2017) Interspecific differences in how habitat degradation affects escape response. *Scientific Reports*, **7**, 426.

McCormick, M.I. & Hoey, A.S. (2004) Larval growth history determines juvenile growth and survival in a tropical marine fish. *Oikos*, **106**, 225-242.

McCormick, M.I. & Molony, B.W. (1993) Quality of the reef fish *Upeneus tragula* (Mullidae) at settlement: Is size a good indicator of condition? *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **98**, 45-54.

McCormick, M.I. & Meekan, M.G. (2007) Social facilitation of selective mortality. *Ecology*, **88**, 1562–1570.

McCormick, M.I., Meekan, M.G. (2010) The importance of attitude: the influence of behaviour on survival at an ontogenetic boundary. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **407**, 173-185

Meekan, M.G., Wilson, S.G., Halford, A. & Retzel, A. (2001) A comparison of catches of fishes and invertebrates by two light trap designs, in tropical NW Australia. *Marine Biology*, **139**, 373-381.

Orr, T. & Garland, T. (2017) Complex reproductive traits and whole-organism performance. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **57**, 407-422.

Pechenik, J.A. (2006) Larval experience and latent effects--metamorphosis is not a new beginning. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **46**, 323-333.

Perez, K.O. & Munch, S.B. (2010) Extreme selection on size in the early lives of fish. *Evolution*, **64**, 2450-2457.

Pini, J., Planes, S., Rochel, E., Lecchini, D. & Fauvelot, C. (2011) Genetic diversity loss associated to high mortality and environmental stress during the recruitment stage of a coral reef fish. *Coral Reefs*, **30**, 399-404.

Planes, S. & Romans, P. (2004) Evidence of genetic selection for growth in new recruits of a marine fish. *Molecular Ecology*, **13**, 2049-2060.

Ramasamy, R.A., Allan, B. & McCormick, M.I. (2015) Plasticity of escape responses: prior predator experience enhances escape performance in a coral reef fish. *PLoS ONE*, **10**, e0132790.

Ramasamy, R.A., McCormick, M.I., Allan, B.J.M., Ferrari, M.C.O., Mitchell, M.D. & Chivers, D.P. (2017) Juvenile coral reef fish alter escape responses when exposed to changes in background and acute risk levels. *Animal Behaviour* (in press).

- Rogers, P.H., Hawkins, A.D., Popper, A.N., Fay, R.R. & Gray, M.D. (2016) Parvulescu revisited: small tank acoustics for bioacousticians. The effects of noise on aquatic life II, pp. 933-941. Springer.
- Rummer, J.L., Couturier, C.S., Stecyk, J.A.W., Gardiner, N.M., Kinch, J.P., Nilsson, G.E. & Munday, P.L. (2014) Life on the edge: thermal optima for aerobic scope of equatorial reef fishes are close to current day temperatures. *Global Change Biology*, **20**, 1055-1066.
- Stevens, M. (2005) The role of eyespots as anti- predator mechanisms, principally demonstrated in the Lepidoptera. *Biological Reviews*, **80**, 573-588.
- Storz, J.F., Bridgham, J.T., Kelly, S.A. & Garland, T. (2015) Genetic approaches in comparative and evolutionary physiology. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, **309**, R197-R214.
- Toscano, B.J., Gownaris, N.J., Heerhartz, S.M. & Monaco, C.J. (2016) Personality, foraging behavior and specialization: integrating behavioral and food web ecology at the individual level. *Oecologia*, **182**, 55-69.
- Vail, A. & McCormick, M.I. (2011) Metamorphosing reef fishes avoid predator scent when choosing a home. *Biology Letters*, **7**, 921-924.
- Vigliola, L., Doherty, P.J., Meekan, M.G., Drown, D.M., Jones, M.E. & Barber, P.H. (2007) Genetic identity determines risk of post-settlement mortality of a marine fish. *Ecology*, **88**, 1263-1277.
- Walker, J.A., Ghalambor, C.K., Griset, O.L., McKenney, D. & Reznick, D.N. (2005) Do faster starts increase the probability of evading predators? *Functional Ecology*, **19**, 808-815.

- Webb, P.W. (1976) The effect of size on the fast-start performance of rainbow trout *Salmo cairdneri*, and a consideration of piscivorous predator-prey interactions. *Journal of experimental biology*, **65**, 157–177.
- Webster, M.M. & Ward, A.J.W. (2011) Personality and social context. *Biological Reviews*, **86**, 759-773.
- Werner, E.E. & Gilliam, J.F. (1984) The ontogenetic niche and species interactions in size-structured populations. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **15**, 393-425.
- White, J.R., McCormick, M.I. & Meekan, M.G. (2013) Syndromes or flexibility: Behavioral during a life history transition of a coral reef fish. *PLoS ONE*, **8**, e84262.
- White, J.R., Meekan, M.G., McCormick, M.I. (2015) Individual consistency in the behaviors of newly-settled reef fish. *PeerJ*, **3**, e961
- White, J.R., McCormick, M.I. & Meekan, M.G. (submitted) Differences in spatial learning linked to boldness in fish. *Ethology Ecology & Evolution*.
- Wilbur, H.M. (1980) Complex life cycles. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **11**, 67-93.
- Wilson, R.S. & Franklin, C.E. (2000) Effect of Ontogenetic Increases in body size on burst swimming performance in tadpoles of the striped marsh frog, *Limnodynastes peronii*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **73**, 142-152.
- Ydenberg, R.C. & Dill, L.M. (1986) The economics of fleeing from predators. *Advances in the Study of Behavior*, **16**, 229-249.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Figure S1. Photograph of a settlement-stage white-tailed damselfish *Pomacentrus chrysurus*, showing the morphological measures used in the current study.

Figure S2. Routine swimming and fast-start arena setup.

Figure S3. Distribution of relative lateralization scores of white-tailed damselfish juveniles that survived (a) or died (b) within 72 h of being placed on a habitat patch.

Table S1. Statistically significant Pearson's correlation coefficients between phenotypic variables.

Please note: Wiley Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

Figures legends

Fig. 1. Relative importance of phenotypic variables of juvenile white-tail damselfish to predict survival over 72 h on habitat patches in the field, as determined by a random forest categorical analysis. $n = 111$ fish.

Fig. 2. Comparison of the variables that significantly discriminated between those white-tailed damselfish juveniles that survived on habitat patches and those that died. a) response latency (residualised), b) boldness, c) bite rate (bites / 3min), d) total distance moved, e) maximum distance ventured from shelter. Mean \pm SE displayed for $n_{\text{survived}} = 59$, $n_{\text{died}} = 52$.

Tables

Table 1. Phenotypic variables measured for each juvenile white-tailed damselfish to examine their relationship with survival on habitat patches in the field. Descriptive statistics for the 111 fish used are given together with their coefficient of variation (CV). The variables used in the final analyses are denoted by an asterisk after their name. Variable with superscript (1) were standardized by distance from stimulus, while those with (2) were standardized by standard length for analysis. Skewness of the overall distribution of the raw variables are given as are references that postulate the importance of the variables to fitness.

Variable type	Variable	Mean	SD	Min, Max	CV	Skew	Reference
Morphological	Weight (g) ²	0.47	0.028	0.39, 0.55	5.91	0.19	Holmes & McCormick 2010
	Standard length (SL, cm)*	1.27	0.065	1.07, 1.42	5.13	0.07	McCormick & Hoey 2004
	Ocellus/Eye area*	0.69	0.136	0.38, 1.02	19.66	0.06	Lönnstedt <i>et al.</i> 2013
	Lateral body area	0.21	0.024	0.14, 0.27	11.52	0.36	Kern <i>et al.</i> 2016
Kinematic	Response latency (s)* ¹	0.015	0.007	0.005, 0.036	45.13	1.05	Katzir & Carnhi 1993
	Response distance (m)* ¹	0.020	0.003	0.01, 0.027	14.00	-0.33	Allan <i>et al.</i> 2015
	Response speed (m/s)* ¹	0.67	0.10	0.41, 0.94	14.76	-0.46	Fuiman <i>et al.</i> 2010
	Maximum response speed (m/s)* ¹	0.96	0.15	0.59, 1.45	15.88	0.43	Allan <i>et al.</i> 2015
Routine swimming	Distance (m)*	1.32	0.88	0.001, 5.05	66.68	1.12	Fuiman, Meekan & McCormick 2010
	Average speed (m/s)	0.011	0.007	0.0008,	66.40	1.13	Fuiman <i>et al.</i> 2006

				0.042			
Thigmotaxis	Innermost area (s)*	30.11	24.05	0, 114	79.86	0.98	Johansen <i>et al.</i> 2017
	Outermost area (s)	89.83	24.03	6,120	26.75	-0.98	
Lateralization	Absolute laterality	26.17	21.82	0, 100	83.37	0.82	Ferrari <i>et al.</i> 2015
	Relative laterality*	0.17	34.15	-100, 80	20491	0.05	Bisazza <i>et al.</i> 1998
Behaviour/space use	Bite rate*	30.68	31.97	0, 196	104.19	1.94	McCormick 2009
	Total distance moved (cm)*	14.71	13.20	0, 60	89.75	1.63	Lönnstedt <i>et al.</i> 2012
	Maximum distance ventured (Max DV, cm)*	3.38	3.62	0, 14	107.13		McCormick 2012
	Boldness (0-3 index)*	1.33	0.90	0.1, 3	67.94		McCormick & Meekan 2010



